FISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Sensors and Actuators: B. Chemical



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/snb

Wireless *in vivo* recording of cortical activity by an ion-sensitive field effect transistor

Suyash Bhatt^{a,b,1}, Emily Masterson^{a,1}, Tianxiang Zhu^a, Jenna Eizadi^a, Judy George^a, Nesya Graupe^a, Adam Vareberg^a, Jack Phillips^a, Ilhan Bok^{a,b}, Matthew Dwyer^b, Alireza Ashtiani^a, Aviad Hai^{a,b,c,*}

^a Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA

^b Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA

^c Wisconsin Institute for Translational Neuroengineering (WITNe), Madison, WI, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Ion-sensitive field effect transistor ISFET Brain recording Wireless

ABSTRACT

Wireless brain technologies are empowering basic neuroscience and clinical neurology by offering new platforms that minimize invasiveness and refine possibilities during electrophysiological recording and stimulation. Despite their advantages, most systems require on-board power supply and sizeable transmission circuitry, enforcing a lower bound for miniaturization. Designing new minimalistic architectures that can efficiently sense neurophysiological events will open the door to standalone microscale sensors and minimally invasive delivery of multiple sensors. Here we present a circuit for sensing ionic fluctuations in the brain by an ion-sensitive field effect transistor that detunes a single radiofrequency resonator in parallel. We establish sensitivity of the sensor by electromagnetic analysis and quantify response to ionic fluctuations *in vitro*. We validate this new architecture *in vivo* during hindpaw stimulation in rodents and verify correlation with local field potential recordings. This new approach can be implemented as an integrated circuit for wireless *in situ* recording of brain electrophysiology.

1. Introduction

Wired probes are currently the mainstay devices for *in situ* recording and stimulation of brain tissue [1–4]. However, wireless variants are becoming ubiquitous in both neurobiological research [5–8] and neurological applications [9–11], greatly expanding the spectrum of behaviors and brain functions studied. Most wireless electrophysiology systems are reliant on an on-board battery for data transmission or include circuitry for power harvesting, employing either head-mounted replaceable power cells [6,7,12] or advanced transcutaneous inductive links for periodical charging and continuous operation [5,8]. More minimalistic circuits that interact with outside detectors such as ultrasound transducers [13,14] magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) hardware [15–18] and optoelectronic interfaces [19–22] present new possibilities for backscatter power harvesting, simplified device delivery and localization, and detection across multiple regions in the nervous system. Radio frequency (RF) sensors in particular, have traditionally benefitted from high signal penetration through the skull and other tissue types compared with optical and ultrasonic modalities [23,24], and new designs enable improved deep tissue sensing, micro-scale device localization, and controlled drug delivery [25–28]. Recently, tunable RF sensors were implanted cortically and used for wireless, MRI-mediated *in vivo* monitoring of bioluminescent cell grafts in the brain [15]. By using field effect transistors (FETs) as a tuning element that modulates frequency response of implanted resonating antennae connected in parallel to the FET, versatile biophysical and biochemical cues in the brain and other organs can be sensed wirelessly and relayed to outside RF detectors [15, 16]. The integration of ion-sensitive FETS (ISFETs) with tunable RF resonators has yet to be demonstrated but offers an enticing possibility for wireless rapid detection of ionic fluctuations in the brain related to stimulation-evoked activity and the analysis of different brain states.

ISFETs have been used as wired probes to directly detect neuronal activity from isolated cells [29–31], brain slices [32,33] and for neurotransmitter monitoring via chemical functionalization [34–36].

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2023.133549

Received 20 December 2022; Received in revised form 13 February 2023; Accepted 18 February 2023 Available online 21 February 2023 0925-4005/© 2023 Published by Elsevier B.V.

^{*} Correspondence to: Room 2112, 1550 Engineering Dr.Madison, WI, USA.

E-mail address: ahai@wisc.edu (A. Hai).

¹ These authors contributed equally

Relying on modified fabrication processes, the FET gate terminal is exposed to electrolyte, and acts as a micro- or nano-scale sensing electrode responsive to biophysical events that induce a change in FET channel transconductance [37,38]. This same mechanism can be leveraged to detune a resonator, similarly to photosensitive FETs used to detune wireless resonators in response to light [15,39]. In a similar topology, an ISFET would act as an electric shunt, diverting current away from the resonating element in response to ionic events. When coupled to RF detector inductively, such a device could modulate coupling between ISFETs implanted *in situ* and detection hardware and therefore facilitate readouts specific to changes in the ionic makeup occurring at the active microenvironment of the device (Fig. 1).

The intent of this study is to demonstrate the validity of this approach. We present a circuit for sensing ionic fluctuations by an ISFET device that detunes an RF resonator connected in parallel to the FET drain and source terminals (Fig. 1a). We make computational predictions of the sensitivity of the circuit by way of a combined semiconductor and electromagnetic simulation environment. We then validate our predictions by wireless measurement of ionic levels in physiological solution. Finally, we demonstrate the use of this new architecture by an implanted ISFET connected to a resonator in the cortex of anesthetized rats and perform wireless frequency response measurements during hindpaw stimulation. Using wired microelectrode arrays during the same stimulation paradigm, we correlate between the frequency response and local field potential amplitudes for a repertoire of responses corresponding to positive and negative phase events. This recording technique can be a basis for miniaturized devices comprising resonators and ISFETs integrated on the same silicon chip and used for wireless recording of brain electrophysiology.

2. Methods

2.1. Electromagnetic simulations

Simulations of device response were performed using a combination of Silvaco TCAD 4.6.2. R software suite (Silvaco Inc., Santa Clara, CA) for ISFET characterization, and Sonnet 17.52 Electromagnetic Software (Sonnet Software, Syracuse, NY) for radio frequency (RF) device detuning simulations. ISFET S-parameters were exported from Silvaco and input into Sonnet using in-house code available through GitHub (see Supplementary Information). ISFET fabrication process was emulated in two dimensions via Silvaco Athena module, whereby an SOI wafer (Si/ SiO₂/Si, 0.8/3/0.2 µm) was selectively doped with Boron to form the Pwell at a dose of $8.5 \cdot 10^{12}$ /cm² with an energy of 100 keV diffused using the Pearson method (diffusion time was 310 s at 1200 °C). A layer of nitride (50 nm) was used as a sacrificial barrier to block the dose at the source and drain. An additional barrier dose of Boron was implanted into the channel at 1.10^{11} /cm² with energy of 10 keV. Following removal of the nitride barrier layer, a layer of polysilicon was deposited to protect the p-well, and a dose of arsenic at $5 \cdot 10^{15}$ /cm² with an energy of 50 keV was deposited at the source and drain terminals. The dose was diffused at 900 °C for 2 s, followed by etching of the polysilicon sacrificial layer. The electrolyte solution facing the active site of the ISFET was modeled as a modified germanium layer (1 µm x 1 µm) for default properties, with relative permittivity (80), charge carrier mobility ($\mu_n =$ $6.9 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2/(\text{V} \cdot \text{s}), \mu_n = 5 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2/(\text{V} \cdot \text{s})), \text{ and electron affinity (3.9 eV)}$ modified based on previous work to emulate an electrolyte solution [40]. A gold reference electrode (1 μ m x 0.1 μ m) was then deposited above electrolyte layer, enabling forward voltage bias to the gate and emulating ISFET sensing. The large signal model of the ISFET was extracted by fixing V_{ds} at 5 V and sweeping V_{gs} from 0 V to 3 V with steps of 0.1 V. The large signal model was calculated as follows:



Fig. 1. Wireless ion sensitive field effect transistor (ISFET) for *in vivo* cortical recordings of ionic fluctuations. a Source and drain of ISFET device are connected to top and bottom plates of capacitor, linking in parallel to circuit. b Q of resonator is dependent on ionic concentrations local to ISFET gate electrode. c Active site of ISFET is embedded through cranial window on surface of somatosensory cortex. d Ion fluctuations detected wirelessly represented in time domain by S11 minima between resonator and antenna over 60 s window.

$$I_{d} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_{n} C_{ox} \left(V_{gs} - V_{th} \right)^{2} (1 + \lambda V_{ds})$$
(1)

Where I_d is the current, $\mu_n C_{ox}$ is a constant defining the oxide capacitance and electron mobility, V_{gs} is the difference in potential between gate and source, V_{th} is the threshold voltage, λ is the channel-length modulation coefficient, and V_{ds} is the drain-source voltage. The transconductance g_m was quantified by taking the derivative of the current as a function of V_{gs} from the large signal model, representing the small signal gain of the ISFET. The transconductance was calculated as follows:

$$g_m = \frac{dy}{dx} \left(\frac{I_d}{V_{gs}} \right) \tag{2}$$

Where g_m is the transconductance, I_d the current, and V_{gs} is the gatesource voltage. ISFET S-parameters were extracted from the small signal model for coupling to opposing sides of an inductor-capacitor (LC) resonator modelled in Sonnet. The resonator comprised a 10-turn square inductor (W/L: 3.5/3.5 mm) and a 30 × 50 mm parallel plate capacitor with turn width of 75 µm and turn spacing of 75 µm, and metal layer thickness of 36 µm. The device was coupled to a model antenna with 1 mm distance to simulate the near field coupling representative of the *in vivo* mode, as well as the induced magnetic field B. The antenna was a single turn spiral with an outer diameter of 9 mm, and inner diameter of 2.5 mm. Single port S11 coupling coefficient was calculated as a function of both frequency and V_{gs} corresponding to ionic concentrations proximal to the gate. The S11 was quantified as follows:

$$S_{11} = S_{11}^{resonator} + S_{11}^{lSFET}$$
(3)

Where the S11 is the overall energy reflectance at the input port, defined as the sum of reflectance values for the resonator and ISFET extracted from the simulations. Frequency response sweeps were simulated from 100 to 200 MHz with a step size of 500 kHz and tested with a corresponding pH sweep of 6–8 with intervals of 0.5 (equivalent to 17.5 mV).

2.2. Frequency response measurements

High speed measurements of device frequency response were performed using Copper Mountain 1-port R60 vector network analyzer (VNA) (Copper Mountain Technologies, Indianapolis, IN) or Keysight E5061A (Keysight Technologies, Santa Rosa, CA) and controlled by inhouse python scripts (Supplementary Information). S11 frequency sweeps were read via SMA-connected PCB transceiver antenna (RFEAH-5, RF Explorer Technologies, Madrid, Spain) and controlled via USB or TCP/IP port connection based on existing VNA API. Data was acquired via binary bin-block transfer at sweep rates of up to 145 Hz at a range of 51-201 datapoints and corresponding timestamps per sweep. For both in vitro and in vivo experiments, the drain and source terminals of a MSFET 3351 ion sensitive field effect transistor (ISFET) (Microsens SA, Lausanne, Switzerland) were bonded in parallel to a standard printed-circuit resonator comprising gold-plated copper (thickness of 36 µm) ten-turn square inductors (W/L: 3.5×3.5 mm, turn width: 75 μ m, turn spacing: 75 μ m) and a 30 \times 50 mm parallel plate capacitor. Standalone ISFET devices were validated using standard solutions (Supplementary Fig. 1). For in vitro frequency response measurements, an average of 10 sweeps was taken for each test solution titrated at pH levels ranging between 6.5 and 7.5. The sensing gate terminal of the ISFET was immersed in test solution and allowed 400 ms to reach steady state before frequency dependent S11 coupling coefficient was recorded.

2.3. Animal use

Sprague-Dawley rats 8–10 weeks of age (250 g) were purchased from Envigo (Madison, WI) and used for all *in vivo* experiments. The animals were housed and maintained on a 12 h light/dark cycle and permitted ad libitum access to food and water. All procedures were performed in strict compliance with US Federal guidelines, with veterinary oversight and approval by the UW-Madison Research Animals Resource Center (RARC).

2.4. Surgical procedures

In preparation of electrophysiological recording experiments, rats were anesthetized (isoflurane, 4% induction, 1.5% maintenance) shaved and mounted on a stereotaxic device (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, Massachusetts). Heart rate and blood oxygenation were continuously monitored using a Nonin 8600 series pulse oximeter (Nonin Inc., Plymouth, MN) and animals were secured with ear bars during all subsequent procedures. Heart rates were maintained at 360-380 beats per minute. The scalp was retracted and holes were drilled into the skull using 1.6 mm diameter drill bits (Cellpoint Scientific Inc., Gaithersburg, MD) with coordinates of 2.2 mm posterior and 2.0 mm lateral to bregma into the somatosensory cortex (trunk field) region. For ISFET recordings, a cranial window of 3 mm diameter was exposed by drilling several adjacent holes with 1.6 mm drill bit. Microelectrode arrays (MEAs) or ISFETs were attached to a stereotaxic arm and manipulated into exposed cortical region (Fig. 1) whereby the ISFET active site was in direct contact with subdural cerebrospinal fluid throughout the recording. The microelectrode array was lowered into the somatosensory cortex 1.5 mm below the dura and swept at depths ranging between 2 and 4 mm. The reference electrode was placed into tissue around the skull and the stereotactic frame was grounded to minimize noise. For hindpaw stimulation during brain recording, a stimulating electrode (part #: ELSTM1, BIOPAC Systems, Inc., Goleta, California) was inserted through the right contralateral hindpaw.

2.5. In vivo recording and stimulation

Microelectrode array (MEA) recordings were performed using 4channel Q1x1-tet-5 mm-121-CQ4 and 16-channel A1x16-5 mm-25-177-A16 wired probes (Neuronexus, Ann Arbor, Michigan) fed into a low profile non-ferrous headstage (LP16CH-ZNF) and streamed through a PZ5-64 neurodigitizer amplifier and RZ2-2 base processor (Tucker-Davis Technologies, Alachua, FL). Raw data were acquired at 65 MHz sampling rate per channel and loaded into MATLAB R2021a (Mathworks, Natick, MA) using a customized TDT software development kit and in-house routines for processing and analysis. Electrical hindpaw stimulation was applied with 1 msec 5 mA current pulses using an isolated current stimulator (A382, World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, Florida). For each stimulation condition, a 60 s epoch was recorded beginning with a 15 s pre-stimulation baseline, followed by a 30 s stimulation period at frequencies of 2, 5, or 10 Hz and concluding with a 15 second baseline immediately after stimulation offset. For each animal, three randomized trials were conducted for each stimulation condition. High speed frequency response of brain-implanted ISFET resonator was acquired before, during and after hindpaw stimulation with identical parameters, at sampling rate of 145 Hz by SMA-connected near-field antenna (RFEAH-5, RF Explorer Technologies, Madrid, Spain). Device response remained stable tjroughout all recording sessions (duration > 20 mins, Supplelemtary Fig. 2).

2.6. Data analysis

Amplitude of device frequency response during hindpaw stimulation was quantified by first taking the on-resonance S11 minima for each trace and calculating a baseline root mean square (RMS) along two random 2 s segments of inactivity during the 60 s baseline recording prior to stimulation. Response amplitude was then defined as the RMS during a 2 s window immediately upon onset of stimulation divided by the baseline RMS value of the same experiment. Frequency domain beta band analysis was done by generating the power spectrum of the first 5 s following onset of stimulation normalized to the power spectrum of the S. Bhatt et al.



Fig. 2. Electromagnetic simulations of ISFET-coupled resonator response. a Simulation arena of RLC resonator coupled to ISFET model. S-parameter frequency response is evaluated at a near field receiver. b E-field is maximized at resonance. c Changes in ionic concentrations at ISFET gate decrease impedance, Q, and e-field. d Left - representative ISFET model connected to resonator. Right - current field density at 0.5 V overdrive voltage (V_{ov}). e Drain-source current (I_{ds}) as a function of V_{ov} . g Frequency response modulation at physiological pH range. Inset - closeup surrounding resonance.

15 ss before onset of stimulation to account for drift of the ISFET and differences in impedances of the electrode from trial to trial. LFP frequency band responses of corresponding stimulation rate were analyzed individually to determine correlation post onset of stimulation.

3. Results

3.1. Electromagnetic modeling of device performance

To predict the sensitivity of the device and its ability to generate wireless readouts of physiological ionic fluctuations and pH levels, we used finite element electromagnetic modeling in conjunction with semiconductor simulations to mimic the ISFET-coupled resonator (Fig. 2). The resonator coupled with the antenna was modeled to reflect the induced electric field at different impedance values of the ISFET channel surrounding resonance (Fig. 2b-c). We estimate a Q factor of 127 at initial tuning of the device corresponding to maximum e-field at resonance of 1.4×10^3 V/m (Fig. 2b). Modulation of Q factor ranged between 63.5 and 127 for corresponding ISFET gate channel impedance values ranging between 4500 and 500 Ohm corresponding to input V_{ov} values ranging between 482.5 and 500 mV and pH levels ranging between 6.5 and 7 (Fig. 2c). The modeled ISFET (Fig. 2d) was designed

with a doping profile that most closely resembles the threshold voltage of the ISFET used for in vivo experiments and displayed a relatively deep channel (0.2 μ m) where current was generated at a density of 4.84 \times 10⁻ 7 A/cm² with a modest bias voltage of 0.5 V and V_{ds} of 3 V (Fig. 2e-f) shown previously to be induced by coupled antennae during frequency sweep at the MHz regime [15]. Input V_{gs} sweep revealed linear current response at 0.5 V overdrive voltage (Fig. 2e) with a transconductance of approximately 0.8 mS (Fig. 2f). To obtain the expected response to physiological ionic concentrations, a 10 µm gate channel ISFET model displaying characteristic pH response (35 mV/pH) was combined with the RF antenna (Fig. 2, a and g). The frequency response at pH levels ranging between 6 and 8 showed Q factor modulation ranging between 18.1 and 42.3 and average gain modulation of - 0.08 dB/pH (Fig. 2g, inset) suggesting detectability to changes in ion concentrations of as low as 0.068 µM well below changes commonly seen in the brain interstitium [41,42]. Moreover, assuming typical ionic strength of cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) in the order of \sim 300 mM, the corresponding Debye length is 0.58 nm is well above physiological ionic diameters and is thus not expected to impact this sensitivity.

3.2. In vitro validation

To test our theoretical predictions and validate the ability of ISFETcoupled resonators to detect physiological pH levels and ion concentrations, we used a simple benchtop configuration to measure response to different pH levels *in vitro* (Fig. 3). Encapsulated ISFET device was bonded to a printed inductor (10 Turns, d = 3.5 mm) connected in series to a parallel plate capacitor resulting in a resonant frequency of ranging MHz. The device was inductively coupled to a near field antenna (1 Turn, d = 9 mm) while immersed in test solution for high-speed vector network analyzer measurements (Fig. 3a). For relevant physiological pH levels ranging between 6.5 and 7.5 the test devices displayed Q factor values ranging between 42.3 and 18.1 that decreased by 57.0% per pH (Fig. 3b). ISFET IV characteristics demonstrated $V_{th} = 0.3$ V and $g_m = 0.2$ mS with a change in V_{gs} of 55 mV/pH (Fig. 3c). The average RMS noise during acquisition was 0.0042 dB, corresponding to minimum detectable change of pH = 0.0229. Detectable concentrations average at 7.57 nM. These values correlate well with our theoretical predictions.

3.3. In vivo recording during hindpaw stimulation

We turned to evaluating the response of ISFET-coupled resonators implanted in the somatosensory cortex (S1HL) of live rats during electrical hindpaw stimulation (Fig. 4). Wireless ISFET (Fig. 4a-c) and wired electrode LFP recordings (4d-e) were acquired during a baseline (no stimulation) period, and during stimulation at frequencies of 2, 5, and 10 Hz. Absolute amplitude of baseline ISFET response was 0.025 dB, increasing to.08,0.04, and 0.03 dB (n = 5) at stimulation frequencies of 2, 5 and 10 Hz, respectively. This corresponded to LFP amplitudes of $0.3 \pm 0.25, 1.5 \pm 0.75, 1.25 \pm 1.0$ and 0.8 ± 0.5 mV (n = 5) for baseline, 2, 5, and 10 Hz, with largest evoked LFP signal amplitudes observed at 2 Hz and at cortical depths of $1.5 - 1.8 \mu m$ (Fig. 4d-e). The ratio between evoked response and baseline amplitudes for all stimulation frequencies was larger than unity (Fig. 4f), with maximal and significant increase of 92.4 \pm 44.5% and 196 \pm 73.0% at 2 Hz stimulation for ISFET and electrode (p < .05 for both, Fig. 4f) and gradually smaller amplitude increases of 24.8 \pm 34.3% and 145 \pm 52.1%, 8.07 \pm 28.0% and 97.4 \pm 27.8% for ISFET and LFP at 5 Hz and 10 Hz. The average normalized LFP response to stimulation degraded linearly for increasingly higher stimulation frequencies and exponentially for ISFET response where average amplitudes for both 5 Hz and 10 Hz stimulation were significantly smaller than 2 Hz (Fig. 4d, purple, n = 5, p < .05), but indistinguishable from each other (Fig. 4d, magenta, p > .05) and can be attributed to intrinsic nonlinearities of FET operation compared



Fig. 3. Benchtop assessment of wireless ISFET sensitivity. a Experimental configuration: readouts of ISFET-coupled resonator immersed in different pH samples are received by near field antenna. A series of frequency response sweeps is acquired by high-speed vector network analyzer. b Examples of frequency response curves for physiological pH levels. c Current-voltage (IV) characteristic curve. Inset: Change in drain-source voltage (V_{ds}) with pH levels. d Arithmetic mean of signal-to-noise ratio per pH level (red: outliers, included in mean, n = 10, all error bars denote s.e.m.).



Fig. 4. Wireless ISFET somatosensory cortical recordings during hindpaw stimulation. a Pre-stimulus readouts of spontaneous activity. b Readouts from S1HL somatosensory cortex during a 2 Hz electrical stimulus of contralateral hindpaw. c Representative maximum single pulse responses in wireless ISFET recording. d Peak differential LFP recordings in response to stimulation. e Heatmap depicting maximum peaks of LFP recordings in d. f Average amplitude of response to stimulation normalized to baseline. Asterix denotes t-test p-values < 0.05, error bars are standard errors, n = 5 for all conditions, error bars denote s.e.m.

with passive wired electrodes. These values correlate with previous studies demonstrating maximal evoked field potential amplitudes surrounding 2 Hz stimulation of the hindpaw contralateral to the S1HL region of the somatosensory cortex [43].

To identify brain activity bands and temporal signal properties contributing to the recorded signals, we sorted the ISFET activity spikes and performed spectral analysis at frequencies between 0.1 and 8 Hz (Fig. 5). Phasic characteristics of ISFET signals (Fig. 5a-b) spanned a repertoire primarily comprising single phase negative and positive peaks (Fig. 5a, center and left panels) with average duration of 54.0 \pm 5.6 ms and 30.8 \pm 1.3 ms (Fig. 5b, cyan and yellow points) and positive-phase slow sustained peaks (Fig. 5a, right panels) with average duration of 1.09 ± 0.128 s (Fig. 5b, magenta points). Average rise times for each signal type were 10.7 \pm 0.655 ms, 15.1 \pm 2.1 ms, and 81.1 \pm 15.5 ms for positive, negative, and sustained positive peaks, respectively. Average decay times were 36.6 ± 3.5 ms, 95.5 ± 14.9 ms, and 969.5 \pm 122.7 ms for positive, negative, and sustained positive signals, respectively. Power spectrograms of periods following stimulation onset show majority response centered around 0.1 - 5 Hz frequency band and minimal to no response at frequencies larger than 5 Hz (Fig. 5c). Normalized responses for both ISFET spikes and LFPs in the delta band were correlated (Fig. 5d, Pearson correlation r = 0, p = .03, n = 5) demonstrating exponential decline in response. As with absolute amplitude (Fig. 4f) no distinct statistical variance could be found between 5 Hz and 10 Hz response in either LFP or ISFET recordings (p > .05). In summary, our recordings demonstrate the ability of the device to record ionic fluctuations correlating with LFP responses in

S1HL following hindpaw stimulation.

4. Discussion

Our results establish a highly compartmentalized wireless technique for *in situ* recording of cortical activity using a standalone ISFET device able to tune an RF resonator in response to neurogenic ionic fluctuations occurring at the ISFET microenvironment. We show that the spatiotemporal characteristics of wirelessly recorded ISFET responses correlate well with LFP recordings tested during standard electrical stimulation protocols of the hindpaw contralateral to the somatosensory cortical implantation site. By utilizing an inductively-powered component serving as a sensitive tunable junction, our approach forms a proofof-concept for localized transmission of electrophysiological events in the brain to outside antennae or to more sophisticated hardware for neuroimaging modalities operating at the RF regime [44,45,15–17].

Numerous studies showcase the capability of wired transistors to acquire fast millisecond-scale single spikes *in vitro* [29,32,33,46–48] and more recently *in vivo* [49–51]. Alternative transistor designs with optimized geometry and favorable material composition can combine with our tunable sensing architecture and facilitate more sensitive wireless detection of rapid physiological events. Increasing channel transconductance (g_m) beyond ~1·10⁻⁴ S measured within the linear region of the FET on-state for the devices used here is possible using improved designs that rely either on minimization of gate layer thickness [52,53], high performance silicon nanowire gate terminals [46,47,54], polymer-based gate channels for organic electrochemical transistors



Fig. 5. A repertoire of positive and negative phase wireless ISFET responses correlating with delta LFP activity band. a ISFET traces can be sorted by both duration and amplitude of response. b Majority of ISFET fluctuations are of duration < 500 ms, with positive sustained fluctuations displaying durations of up to 2.5 s. Negative phase responses are of duration < 100 ms. c Spectrograms of ISFET wireless response following stimulation onset reveal excitatory response centered around 0.1–5 Hz. Duration and intensity of response are inversely proportional to frequency of stimulation. ISFET activity at frequencies greater than the delta wave band was minimal. d Response normalized to baseline for both wireless ISFET and LFP electrode recordings show maximal excitatory response at 2 Hz stimulation frequency, and a reduced response at frequencies > 5 Hz in the delta wave band. Asterix denotes t-test p-values < 0.05, error bars are standard errors, n = 5 for all conditions, error bars denote s.e.m.

(OECTs) [53,55] or other ion-gated electrochemical transistors (e-IGTs) [56–58] that display significantly higher mobility and g_m values of up to $1 \cdot 10^{-2}$ S. These and similar devices can serve as more potent tuning switches for *in situ* wireless detection. In addition, the gate channel width of the current device can be modified for optimized g_m , signal-to-noise ratio and threshold voltage [59,60] dictated by the required dimensions of the recording site and critical to overcoming recording artifacts augmented in awake subjects. Incorporating these strategies with the tunable antenna approach can be possible by high speed VNA frequency response acquisition (145 sweeps/sec for 101 discrete frequency measurements in the current study) that could be improved further (>1KHz) via custom hardware and more sophisticated selection of frequency datapoints during sweep acquisition, opening the door to recordings correlated with neurophysiological events manifested at higher frequencies.

Other natural steps for the technology involve integrating the resonator and ISFET components on the same die towards non-surgical injectability into brain parenchyma [19,25,61] similarly to reported RF transmitters used for magnetic sensing and micro-localization *in vivo* [28,62], ingestible electroceuticals for gut therapeutics [63,64], temperature monitoring [65] and wireless neural stimulation [8,66]. A parallel route will be fabrication on mechanically adaptive and biologically-integrated substrates [25,49,61,67,68] to facilitate hermetic chronic operation. Additionally, in order to provide neurochemical specificity, the active site of the ISFET can be functionalized with neurochemical-responsive enzymes [34-36], receptors [69-71] and synthesized entities [72,73] and used to gain greater insight into neurotransmitter levels in the brain, complementing recent efforts demonstrating ISFET-mediated detection of dopamine, serotonin and other neurotransmitters in the brain [36,72,73]. Furthermore, similar functionalization schemes [74] can enable our sensor to detect specific ions dominant in cerebrospinal fluid, notably Na^+ and Ca^{+2} rather than aggregate ionic fluctuations. Together, these avenues can lead to biocompatible cellular-scale tunable brain sensors able to sense a milieu of events while mitigating gliosis and related adverse immune responses commonly afflicting chronically implanted recording devices [75,76].

Funding

This work was supported by the National Institute of Neurological

Disorders and Stroke and the Office of the Director's Common Fund at the National Institutes of Health (grant DP2NS122605 to AH), the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (grant K01EB027184 to AH), and DoD (ONR) grants N00014-23-1-2006 and N00014-22-1-2371 to AH. Some of the work was also supported by the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation (WARF).

CRediT authorship contribution statement

AH, SB and EM designed the research. SB and EM performed *in vivo* experiments with participation of IB. TZ built and coded the high-speed network analysis interface with JP. SB, JE, MD and AA performed electromagnetic modelling. AV, JG and NG performed finite element simulations. SB and EM analyzed the data. SB, EM and AH wrote the manuscript.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data Availability

Data will be made available on request.

Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.snb.2023.133549.

References

- J.J. Jun, N.A. Steinmetz, J.H. Siegle, D.J. Denman, M. Bauza, B. Barbarits, A.K. Lee, C.A. Anastassiou, A. Andrei, Ç. Aydın, M. Barbic, T.J. Blanche, V. Bonin, J. Couto, B. Dutta, S.L. Gratiy, D.A. Gutnisky, M. Häusser, B. Karsh, P. Ledochowitsch, C. M. Lopez, C. Mitelut, S. Musa, M. Okun, M. Pachitariu, J. Putzeys, P.D. Rich, C. Rossant, W. Sun, K. Svoboda, M. Carandini, K.D. Harris, C. Koch, J. O'Keefe, T. D. Harris, Fully integrated silicon probes for high-density recording of neural activity, Nature 551 (2017) 232–236, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24636.
- [2] J.A. Frank, M.-J. Antonini, P. Anikeeva, Next-generation interfaces for studying neural function, Nat. Biotechnol. 37 (2019) 1013–1023, https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41587-019-0198-8.
- [3] A. Nurmikko, Challenges for Large-Scale cortical interfaces, Neuron 108 (2020) 259–269, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.10.015.
- [4] N.A. Steinmetz, C. Aydin, A. Lebedeva, M. Okun, M. Pachitariu, M. Bauza, M. Beau, J. Bhagat, C. Böhm, M. Broux, S. Chen, J. Colonell, R.J. Gardner, B. Karsh, F. Kloosterman, D. Kostadinov, C. Mora-Lopez, J. O'Callaghan, J. Park, J. Putzeys, B. Sauerbrei, R.J.J. van Daal, A.Z. Vollan, S. Wang, M. Welkenhuysen, Z. Ye, J.
 - T. Dudman, B. Dutta, A.W. Hantman, K.D. Harris, A.K. Lee, E.I. Moser, J. O'Keefe, A. Renart, K. Svoboda, M. Häusser, S. Haesler, M. Carandini, T.D. Harris, Neuropixels 2.0: a miniaturized high-density probe for stable, long-term brain recordings, Science 372 (2021) eabf4588, https://doi.org/10.1126/science. abf4588.
- [5] D.A. Borton, M. Yin, J. Aceros, A. Nurmikko, An implantable wireless neural interface for recording cortical circuit dynamics in moving primates, J. Neural Eng. 10 (2013), 026010, https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-2560/10/2/026010.
- [6] D.A. Schwarz, M.A. Lebedev, T.L. Hanson, D.F. Dimitrov, G. Lehew, J. Meloy, S. Rajangam, V. Subramanian, P.J. Ifft, Z. Li, A. Ramakrishnan, A. Tate, K. Z. Zhuang, M.A.L. Nicolelis, Chronic, wireless recordings of large-scale brain activity in freely moving rhesus monkeys, Nat. Methods 11 (2014) 670–676, https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2936.
- [7] A. Zhou, S.R. Santacruz, B.C. Johnson, G. Alexandrov, A. Moin, F.L. Burghardt, J. M. Rabaey, J.M. Carmena, R. Muller, A wireless and artefact-free 128-channel neuromodulation device for closed-loop stimulation and recording in non-human primates, Nat. Biomed. Eng. 3 (2019) 15–26, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-018-0323-x.
- [8] S.M. Won, L. Cai, P. Gutruf, J.A. Rogers, Wireless and battery-free technologies for neuroengineering, Nat. Biomed. Eng. (2021) 1–19, https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41551-021-00683-3.
- [9] U. Topalovic, Z.M. Aghajan, D. Villaroman, S. Hiller, L. Christov-Moore, T. J. Wishard, M. Stangl, N.R. Hasulak, C.S. Imman, T.A. Fields, V.R. Rao, D. Eliashiv, I. Fried, N. Suthana, Wireless programmable recording and stimulation of deep brain activity in freely moving humans, Neuron 108 (2020), https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.neuron.2020.08.021, 322-334.e9.

- [10] J.D. Simeral, T. Hosman, J. Saab, S.N. Flesher, M. Vilela, B. Franco, J.N. Kelemen, D.M. Brandman, J.G. Ciancibello, P.G. Rezaii, E.N. Eskandar, D.M. Rosler, K. V. Shenoy, J.M. Henderson, A.V. Nurmikko, L.R. Hochberg, Home use of a percutaneous wireless intracortical brain-computer interface by individuals with tetraplegia, IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. 68 (2021) 2313–2325, https://doi.org/ 10.1109/TBME.2021.3069119.
- [11] R. Gilron, S. Little, R. Perrone, R. Wilt, C. de Hemptinne, M.S. Yaroshinsky, C. A. Racine, S.S. Wang, J.L. Ostrem, P.S. Larson, D.D. Wang, N.B. Galifianakis, I. O. Bledsoe, M. San Luciano, H.E. Dawes, G.A. Worrell, V. Kremen, D.A. Borton, T. Denison, P.A. Starr, Long-term wireless streaming of neural recordings for circuit discovery and adaptive stimulation in individuals with Parkinson's disease, Nat. Biotechnol. 39 (2021) 1078–1085, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-021-00897-5.
- [12] S.K. Arfin, M.A. Long, M.S. Fee, R. Sarpeshkar, Wireless neural stimulation in freely behaving small animals, J. Neurophysiol. 102 (2009) 598–605, https://doi.org/ 10.1152/jn.00017.2009.
- [13] D. Seo, R.M. Neely, K. Shen, U. Singhal, E. Alon, J.M. Rabaey, J.M. Carmena, M. M. Maharbiz, Wireless recording in the peripheral nervous system with ultrasonic neural dust, Neuron 91 (2016) 529–539, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuron.2016.06.034.
- [14] D.K. Piech, B.C. Johnson, K. Shen, M.M. Ghanbari, K.Y. Li, R.M. Neely, J.E. Kay, J. M. Carmena, M.M. Maharbiz, R. Muller, A wireless millimetre-scale implantable neural stimulator with ultrasonically powered bidirectional communication, Nat. Biomed. Eng. 4 (2020) 207–222, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-020-0518-9.
- [15] A. Hai, V.C. Spanoudaki, B.B. Bartelle, A. Jasanoff, Wireless resonant circuits for the minimally invasive sensing of biophysical processes in magnetic resonance imaging, Nat. Biomed. Eng. 3 (2019) 69–78, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-018-0309-8.
- [16] A.P. Jasanoff, V.C. Spanoudaki, A. Hai, Tunable detectors (2020) US Patent 20200046224A.
- [17] M. Venkateswaran, K. Kurpad, J.E. Brown, S. Fain, D. van der Weide, Wireless power harvesting during MRI, Annu Int Conf. IEEE Eng. Med Biol. Soc. 2020 (2020) 1469–1472, https://doi.org/10.1109/EMBC44109.2020.9175648.
- [18] J. Phillips, M. Glodowski, Y. Gokhale, M. Dwyer, A. Ashtiani, A. Hai, Enhanced magnetic transduction of neuronal activity by nanofabricated inductors quantified via finite element analysis, J. Neural Eng. 19 (2022), https://doi.org/10.1088/ 1741-2552/ac7907.
- [19] T. Kim, J.G. McCall, Y.H. Jung, X. Huang, E.R. Siuda, Y. Li, J. Song, Y.M. Song, H. A. Pao, R.-H. Kim, C. Lu, S.D. Lee, I.-S. Song, G. Shin, R. Al-Hasani, S. Kim, M. P. Tan, Y. Huang, F.G. Omenetto, J.A. Rogers, M.R. Bruchas, Injectable, cellular-scale optoelectronics with applications for wireless optogenetics, Science 340 (2013) 211–216, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1232437.
- [20] H. Lorach, G. Goetz, R. Smith, X. Lei, Y. Mandel, T. Kamins, K. Mathieson, P. Huie, J. Harris, A. Sher, D. Palanker, Photovoltaic restoration of sight with high visual acuity, Nat. Med. 21 (2015) 476–482, https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3851.
- [21] G. Shin, A.M. Gomez, R. Al-Hasani, Y.R. Jeong, J. Kim, Z. Xie, A. Banks, S.M. Lee, S. Y. Han, C.J. Yoo, J.-L. Lee, S.H. Lee, J. Kurniawan, J. Tureb, Z. Guo, J. Yoon, S.-I. Park, S.Y. Bang, Y. Nam, M.C. Walicki, V.K. Samineni, A.D. Mickle, K. Lee, S. Y. Heo, J.G. McCall, T. Pan, L. Wang, X. Feng, T. Kim, J.K. Kim, Y. Li, Y. Huang, R. W. Gereau, J.S. Ha, M.R. Bruchas, J.A. Rogers, Flexible near-field wireless optoelectronics as subdermal implants for broad applications in optogenetics, Neuron 93 (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.12.031, 509-521.e3.
- [22] P. Gutruf, V. Krishnamurthi, A. Vázquez-Guardado, Z. Xie, A. Banks, C.-J. Su, Y. Xu, C.R. Haney, E.A. Waters, I. Kandela, S.R. Krishnan, T. Ray, J.P. Leshock, Y. Huang, D. Chanda, J.A. Rogers, Fully implantable optoelectronic systems for battery-free, multimodal operation in neuroscience research, Nat. Electron. 1 (2018) 652–660, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41928-018-0175-0.
- [23] A. Marblestone, B. Zamft, Y. Maguire, M. Shapiro, T. Cybulski, J. Glaser, D. Amodei, P.B. Stranges, R. Kalhor, D. Dalrymple, D. Seo, E. Alon, M. Maharbiz, J. Carmena, J. Rabaey, E. Boyden, G. Church, K. Kording, Physical principles for scalable neural recording, Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience 7 (137) (2013), https://doi.org/10.3389/fncom.2013.00137.
- [24] D. Seo, J.M. Carmena, J.M. Rabaey, M.M. Maharbiz, E. Alon, Model validation of untethered, ultrasonic neural dust motes for cortical recording, J. Neurosci. Methods 244 (2015) 114–122, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2014.07.025.
- [25] S.-W. Hwang, X. Huang, J.-H. Seo, J.-K. Song, S. Kim, S. Hage-Ali, H.-J. Chung, H. Tao, F.G. Omenetto, Z. Ma, J.A. Rogers, Materials for bioresorbable radio frequency electronics, Adv. Mater. 25 (2013) 3526–3531, https://doi.org/ 10.1002/adma.201300920.
- [26] M. Monge, A. Lee-Gosselin, M.G. Shapiro, A. Emami, Localization of microscale devices in vivo using addressable transmitters operated as magnetic spins, Nat. Biomed. Eng. 1 (2017) 736–744, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-017-0129-2.
- [27] S.M. Mirvakili, R. Langer, Wireless on-demand drug delivery, Nat. Electron 4 (2021) 464–477, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41928-021-00614-9.
- [28] M. Zaeimbashi, M. Nasrollahpour, A. Khalifa, A. Romano, X. Liang, H. Chen, N. Sun, A. Matyushov, H. Lin, C. Dong, Z. Xu, A. Mittal, I. Martos-Repath, G. Jha, N. Mirchandani, D. Das, M. Onabajo, A. Shrivastava, S. Cash, N.X. Sun, Ultracompact dual-band smart NEMS magnetoelectric antennas for simultaneous wireless energy harvesting and magnetic field sensing, Nat. Commun. 12 (2021) 3141, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23256-z.
- [29] A. Cohen, M.E. Spira, S. Yitshaik, G. Borghs, O. Shwartzglass, J. Shappir, Depletion type floating gate p-channel MOS transistor for recording action potentials generated by cultured neurons, Biosens. Bioelectron. 19 (2004) 1703–1709, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2004.01.021.
- [30] P. Fromherz, Current-Induced transistor sensorics with electrogenic cells, Biosensors 6 (2016) 18, https://doi.org/10.3390/bios6020018.

S. Bhatt et al.

- [31] M.E. Spira, A. Hai, Multi-Electrode Array Technologies for Neuroscience and Cardiology, in: Nano-Enabled Medical Applications, Jenny Stanford Publishing, 2020.
- [32] M. Hutzler, A. Lambacher, B. Eversmann, M. Jenkner, R. Thewes, P. Fromherz, High-Resolution multitransistor array recording of electrical field potentials in cultured brain slices, Journal of Neurophysiology (2006) 1638–1645, https://doi. org/10.1152/jn.00347.2006.
- [33] C. Stangl, P. Fromherz, Neuronal field potential in acute hippocampus slice recorded with transistor and micropipette electrode, Eur. J. Neurosci. 27 (2008) 958–964, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2008.06067.x.
- [34] A.B. Kharitonov, M. Zayats, A. Lichtenstein, E. Katz, I. Willner, Enzyme monolayerfunctionalized field-effect transistors for biosensor applications, Sens. Actuators B: Chem. 70 (2000) 222–231, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4005(00)00573-6.
- [35] A. Hai, D. Ben-Haim, N. Korbakov, A. Cohen, J. Shappir, R. Oren, M.E. Spira, S. Yitzchaik, Acetylcholinesterase–ISFET based system for the detection of acetylcholine and acetylcholinesterase inhibitors, Biosens. Bioelectron. 22 (2006) 605–612, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2006.01.028.
- [36] N. Liu, X. Xiang, L. Fu, Q. Cao, R. Huang, H. Liu, G. Han, L. Wu, Regenerative field effect transistor biosensor for in vivo monitoring of dopamine in fish brains, Biosens. Bioelectron. 188 (2021), 113340, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bios.2021.113340.
- [37] P. Bergveld, Development of an ion-sensitive solid-state device for neurophysiological measurements, IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. BME-17 (1970) 70–71, https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.1970.4502688.
- [38] P. Bergveld, Thirty years of ISFETOLOGY: what happened in the past 30 years and what may happen in the next 30 years, Sens. Actuators B: Chem. 88 (2003) 1–20, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4005(02)00301-5.
- [39] E.Y. Wong MSE, Q. Zhang PhD, J.L. Duerk PhD, J.S. Lewin MD, M. Wendt PhD, An optical system for wireless detuning of parallel resonant circuits, J. Magn. Reson. Imaging 12 (2000) 632–638, https://doi.org/10.1002/1522-2586(200010)12: 4<632::AID-JMRI17>3.0.CO;2-J.
- [40] D. Welch, S. Shah, S. Ozev, J. Blain Christen, Experimental and simulated cycling of ISFET electric fields for drift reset, IEEE Electron Device Lett. 34 (2013) 456–458, https://doi.org/10.1109/LED.2013.2240648.
- [41] D. Attwell, J.F. Iles, D. Whitteridge, Synaptic transmission: ion concentration changes in the synaptic cleft, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B. Biol. Sci. 206 (1979) 115–131, https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1979.0095.
- [42] F. Ding, J. O'Donnell, Q. Xu, N. Kang, N. Goldman, M. Nedergaard, Changes in the composition of brain interstitial ions control the sleep - wake cycle, Science 352 (2016) 550–555, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad4821.
- [43] T. Matsuura, I. Kanno, Quantitative and temporal relationship between local cerebral blood flow and neuronal activation induced by somatosensory stimulation in rats, Neurosci. Res. 40 (2001) 281–290, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-0102 (01)00236-X.
- [44] S. Weiss, T. Kuehne, F. Brinkert, G. Krombach, M. Katoh, T. Schaeffter, R. W. Guenther, A. Buecker, In vivo safe catheter visualization and slice tracking using an optically detunable resonant marker, Magn. Reson Med. 52 (2004) 860–868, https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.20214.
 [45] D.S. Rivera, M.S. Cohen, W.G. Clark, A.C. Chu, R.L. Nunnally, J. Smith, D. Mills, J.
- [45] D.S. Rivera, M.S. Cohen, W.G. Clark, A.C. Chu, R.L. Nunnally, J. Smith, D. Mills, J. W. Judy, An implantable RF solenoid for magnetic resonance microscopy and microspectroscopy, IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. 59 (2012) 2118–2125, https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2011.2178239.
- [46] T. Cohen-Karni, B.P. Timko, L.E. Weiss, C.M. Lieber, Flexible electrical recording from cells using nanowire transistor arrays, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106 (2009) 7309–7313, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0902752106.
- [47] X. Duan, R. Gao, P. Xie, T. Cohen-Karni, Q. Qing, H.S. Choe, B. Tian, X. Jiang, C. M. Lieber, Intracellular recordings of action potentials by an extracellular nanoscale field-effect transistor, Nat. Nanotechnol. 7 (2011) 174–179, https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2011.223.
- [48] J. Abbott, T. Ye, K. Krenek, R.S. Gertner, S. Ban, Y. Kim, L. Qin, W. Wu, H. Park, D. Ham, A nanoelectrode array for obtaining intracellular recordings from thousands of connected neurons, Nat. Biomed. Eng. 4 (2020) 232–241, https://doi. org/10.1038/s41551-019-0455-7.
- [49] J. Viventi, D.-H. Kim, L. Vigeland, E.S. Frechette, J.A. Blanco, Y.-S. Kim, A.E. Avrin, V.R. Tiruvadi, S.-W. Hwang, A.C. Vanleer, D.F. Wulsin, K. Davis, C.E. Gelber, L. Palmer, J. Van der Spiegel, J. Wu, J. Xiao, Y. Huang, D. Contreras, J.A. Rogers, B. Litt, Flexible, foldable, actively multiplexed, high-density electrode array for mapping brain activity in vivo, Nat. Neurosci. 14 (2011) 1599–1605, https://doi. org/10.1038/nn.2973.
- [50] D. Khodagholy, T. Doublet, P. Quilichini, M. Gurfinkel, P. Leleux, A. Ghestem, E. Ismailova, T. Hervé, S. Sanaur, C. Bernard, G.G. Malliaras, In vivo recordings of brain activity using organic transistors, Nat. Commun. 4 (2013) 1575, https://doi. org/10.1038/ncomms2573.
- [51] H. Fang, K.J. Yu, C. Gloschat, Z. Yang, C.-H. Chiang, J. Zhao, S.M. Won, S. Xu, M. Trumpis, Y. Zhong, E. Song, S.W. Han, Y. Xue, D. Xu, G. Cauwenberghs, M. Kay, Y. Huang, J. Viventi, I.R. Efimov, J.A. Rogers, Capacitively coupled arrays of multiplexed flexible silicon transistors for long-term cardiac electrophysiology, Nat. Biomed. Eng. 1 (2017) 0038, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-017-0038.
- [52] T. Prodromakis, Y. Liu, T. Constandinou, P. Georgiou, C. Toumazou, Exploiting CMOS technology to enhance the performance of ISFET sensors, IEEE Electron Device Lett. 31 (2010) 1053–1055, https://doi.org/10.1109/LED.2010.2052011.
- [53] J. Rivnay, P. Leleux, M. Ferro, M. Sessolo, A. Williamson, D.A. Koutsouras, D. Khodagholy, M. Ramuz, X. Strakosas, R.M. Owens, C. Benar, J.-M. Badier, C. Bernard, G.G. Malliaras, High-performance transistors for bioelectronics through tuning of channel thickness, Sci. Adv. 1 (2015), e1400251, https://doi.org/ 10.1126/sciadv.1400251.

- [54] Y. Cui, Z. Zhong, D. Wang, W.U. Wang, C.M. Lieber, High performance silicon nanowire field effect transistors, Nano Lett. 3 (2003) 149–152, https://doi.org/ 10.1021/nl0258751.
- [55] J. Rivnay, S. Inal, A. Salleo, R.M. Owens, M. Berggren, G.G. Malliaras, Organic electrochemical transistors, Nat. Rev. Mater. 3 (2018) 1–14, https://doi.org/ 10.1038/natrevmats.2017.86.
- [56] G.D. Spyropoulos, J.N. Gelinas, D. Khodagholy, Internal ion-gated organic electrochemical transistor: a building block for integrated bioelectronics, Sci. Adv. 5 (2019) eaau7378, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aau7378.
- [57] C. Cea, G.D. Spyropoulos, P. Jastrzebska-Perfect, J.J. Ferrero, J.N. Gelinas, D. Khodagholy, Enhancement-mode ion-based transistor as a comprehensive interface and real-time processing unit for in vivo electrophysiology, Nat. Mater. 19 (2020) 679–686, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-020-0638-3.
- [58] F. Torricelli, D.Z. Adrahtas, Z. Bao, M. Berggren, F. Biscarini, A. Bonfiglio, C. A. Bortolotti, C.D. Frisbie, E. Macchia, G.G. Malliaras, I. McCulloch, M. Moser, T.-Q. Nguyen, R.M. Owens, A. Salleo, A. Spanu, L. Torsi, Electrolyte-gated transistors for enhanced performance bioelectronics, Nat. Rev. Methods Prim. 1 (2021) 1–24, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43586-021-00065-8.
- [59] M. Sohbati, C. Toumazou, Dimension and shape effects on the ISFET performance, IEEE Sens. J. 15 (2015) 1670–1679, https://doi.org/10.1109/ JSEN 2014 2365291
- [60] M. Kaisti, Detection principles of biological and chemical FET sensors, Biosens. Bioelectron. 98 (2017) 437–448, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2017.07.010.
- [61] S.-K. Kang, R.K.J. Murphy, S.-W. Hwang, S.M. Lee, D.V. Harburg, N.A. Krueger, J. Shin, P. Gamble, H. Cheng, S. Yu, Z. Liu, J.G. McCall, M. Stephen, H. Ying, J. Kim, G. Park, R.C. Webb, C.H. Lee, S. Chung, D.S. Wie, A.D. Gujar, B. Vemulapalli, A.H. Kim, K.-M. Lee, J. Cheng, Y. Huang, S.H. Lee, P.V. Braun, W. Z. Ray, J.A. Rogers, Bioresorbable silicon electronic sensors for the brain, Nature 530 (2016) 71–76, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16492.
- [62] M. Monge, A. Lee-Gosselin, M.G. Shapiro, A. Emami, Localization of microscale devices in vivo using addressable transmitters operated as magnetic spins, Nat. Biomed. Eng. 1 (2017) 736–744, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-017-0129-2.
- [63] C.J. Bettinger, Materials advances for next-generation ingestible electronic medical devices, Trends Biotechnol. 33 (2015) 575–585, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tibtech.2015.07.008.
- [64] C. Steiger, A. Abramson, P. Nadeau, A.P. Chandrakasan, R. Langer, G. Traverso, Ingestible electronics for diagnostics and therapy, Nat. Rev. Mater. 4 (2019) 83–98, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-018-0070-3.
- [65] C. Shi, V. Andino-Pavlovsky, S.A. Lee, T. Costa, J. Elloian, E.E. Konofagou, K. L. Shepard, Application of a sub-0.1-mm3 implantable mote for in vivo real-time wireless temperature sensing, Sci. Adv. 7 (2021) eabf6312, https://doi.org/ 10.1126/sciadv.abf6312.
- [66] A. Khalifa, Y. Liu, Y. Karimi, Q. Wang, A. Eisape, M. Stanaćević, N. Thakor, Z. Bao, R. Etienne-Cummings, The microbead: a 0.009 mm3 implantable wireless neural stimulator, IEEE Trans. Biomed. Circuits Syst. 13 (2019) 971–985, https://doi.org/ 10.1109/TBCAS.2019.2939014.
- [67] P.J. Rousche, D.S. Pellinen, D.P. Pivin, J.C. Williams, R.J. Vetter, D.R. Kipke, Flexible polyimide-based intracortical electrode arrays with bioactive capability, IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. 48 (2001) 361–371.
- [68] Y.H. Jung, T.-H. Chang, H. Zhang, C. Yao, Q. Zheng, V.W. Yang, H. Mi, M. Kim, S. J. Cho, D.-W. Park, H. Jiang, J. Lee, Y. Qiu, W. Zhou, Z. Cai, S. Gong, Z. Ma, High-performance green flexible electronics based on biodegradable cellulose nanofibril paper, Nat. Commun. 6 (2015) 7170, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8170.
- [69] S.J. Park, H.S. Song, O.S. Kwon, J.H. Chung, S.H. Lee, J.H. An, S.R. Ahn, J.E. Lee, H. Yoon, T.H. Park, J. Jang, Human dopamine receptor nanovesicles for gatepotential modulators in high-performance field-effect transistor biosensors, Sci. Rep. 4 (2014) 4342, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep04342.
- Rep. 4 (2014) 4342, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep04342.
 [70] O.S. Kwon, H.S. Song, S.J. Park, S.H. Lee, J.H. An, J.W. Park, H. Yang, H. Yoon, J. Bae, T.H. Park, J. Jang, An ultrasensitive, selective, multiplexed superbioelectronic nose that mimics the human sense of smell, Nano Lett. 15 (2015) 6559–6567, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b02286.
- [71] Y.-T. Li, X. Jin, L. Tang, W.-L. Lv, M.-M. Xiao, Z.-Y. Zhang, C. Gao, G.-J. Zhang, Receptor-mediated field effect transistor biosensor for real-time monitoring of glutamate release from primary hippocampal neurons, Anal. Chem. 91 (2019) 8229–8236, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.9b00832.
- [72] N. Nakatsuka, K.-A. Yang, J.M. Abendroth, K.M. Cheung, X. Xu, H. Yang, C. Zhao, B. Zhu, Y.S. Rim, Y. Yang, P.S. Weiss, M.N. Stojanović, A.M. Andrews, Aptamer–field-effect transistors overcome Debye length limitations for smallmolecule sensing, Science 362 (2018) 319–324, https://doi.org/10.1126/science. aao6750.
- [73] C. Zhao, K.M. Cheung, I.-W. Huang, H. Yang, N. Nakatsuka, W. Liu, Y. Cao, T. Man, P.S. Weiss, H.G. Monbouquette, A.M. Andrews, Implantable aptamer-field-effect transistor neuroprobes for in vivo neurotransmitter monitoring, Sci. Adv. 7 (2021) eabj7422, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abj7422.
- [74] A. Cazalé, W. Sant, J. Launay, F. Ginot, P. Temple-Boyer, Study of field effect transistors for the sodium ion detection using fluoropolysiloxane-based sensitive layers, Sens. Actuators B: Chem. 177 (2013) 515–521, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. snb.2012.11.054.
- [75] V.S. Polikov, P.A. Tresco, W.M. Reichert, Response of brain tissue to chronically implanted neural electrodes, J. Neurosci. Methods 148 (2005) 1–18, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2005.08.015.
- [76] J.W. Salatino, K.A. Ludwig, T.D.Y. Kozai, E.K. Purcell, Glial responses to implanted electrodes in the brain, Nat. Biomed. Eng. 1 (2017) 862–877, https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41551-017-0154-1.



Suyash Bhatt is a Ph.D. student in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the University of Wisconsin-Madison, WI, USA. He received his M.S. and B.S.E. from Carnegie Mellon University in Electrical and Computer Engineering. His research interests are in semiconductor and microscale RF device fabrication and processes, and their use in the study of neuroscience.



Nesya Graupe is pursuing her B.Sc. in Biomedical Engineering at the University of Wisconsin-Madison, WI, USA, with emphasis in Bioinstrumentation. She is interested in the clinical implications of wireless brain technology.



Emily Masterson is pursuing her B.Sc. in Biomedical Engineering and minors in Biology and Japanese at the University of Wisconsin-Madison, WI, USA. Her research interests include the development of novel implantable devices for sensing electrophysiological activity. She is more broadly interested in aiding the development of neuroscience practices for realworld biomedical applications.



Adam Vareberg is a Ph.D. student at the Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Madison, WI, USA. He received his S.B. degree from the Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences at Harvard University in 2019. His current research focuses on wireless approaches for translational neuromodulation, as well as computational methods for resolving neural measurements.



Tianxiang Zhu is a Ph.D. student in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the University of Wisconsin-Madison, WI, USA. He received his B.Sc. in Biomedical Engineering at University of Wisconsin-Madison, WI, USA. His current research interests are developing microand nano-fabrication processes for BioMEMS and the development of wireless sensors for recording brain activity.



Jack Phillips is a Ph.D. student in the Department of Biomedical Engineering at the University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA. They received their B.Sc. in neurobiology from the University of Chicago, IL, USA. Their research focuses on fabricating and testing novel micro- and nano-scale wireless probes for sensing brain activity.



Jenna Eizadi is a recent graduate of the University of Wisconsin-Madison where she received a B.Sc. degree in Biomedical Engineering and minors in Computer Science and Biology. Her research focused on constructing computational models of neural networks as well as applying novel methods for recording from neurons both in vitro and in vivo. She is currently a medical device engineer developing a transcatheter heart valve replacement solution in industry.



Ilhan Bok is a Ph.D. student at the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering and an affiliate of the Department of Biomedical Engineering at the University of Wisconsin-Madison, WI, USA. He received his B.Sc. at UW-Madison, double majoring in Computer Engineering and Computer Science. His research currently focuses on designing and fabricating injectable nanoparticle sensors for wireless neural imaging.



Judy George received her B.Sc. in Biomedical Engineering with emphasis in Biomechanics from Case Western Reserve university, OH, USA, and her M.Sc. in Biomedical Engineering at the University of Wisconsin-Madison, WI, USA with a focus in Medical and Microdevices. Her research interests include validating novel wireless devices for RF-mediated heat stimulation with potential therapeutic applications for brain injury and disease. She is currently an R&D engineer in industry where she is helping to develop cardiac RF implantables and accompanying wireless charging systems.



Matthew Dwyer is a semiconductor process engineer in industry. He received his Ph.D. in 2017 from the University of Wisconsin-Madison, and B.Eng. in 2009 from the University of Queensland (Australia). His research interests include allelectronic pulse generation, detection, and sensing from mmwave to terahertz, and the semiconductor processing techniques that support this.

Sensors and Actuators: B. Chemical 382 (2023) 133549



Alireza Ousati Ashtiani is a scientist in the department of Biomedical Engineering at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. He received his M.Sc. and Ph.D. in Electrical and Computer Engineering from the university of Wisconsin-Madison, WI, USA, in 2012 and 2016, respectively. His research interests include design and fabrication of sensitive field effect transistors for biosensing applications, MEMS optical devices, microfluidics, and nano-device fabrication.



Aviad Hai is an assistant professor of Biomedical Engineering at the University of Wisconsin-Madison with affiliation with the department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. Dr. Hai received his Ph.D. from the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, advised by Prof. Micha E. Spira (Neurobiology) and Prof. Joseph Shappir (Electrical Engineering), where he pioneered nano-scale devices for multiplexed recording of neuronal intracellular signals. He later joined Prof. Alan Jasanoff in the department of Biological Engineering at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, where he developed wireless implantable sensors that detect electromagnetic fields in the brain, and molecular

technologies for direct imaging of neurotransmitter dynamics across large volumes of the brain. Dr. Hai is the recipient of the 2020 NIH Director's New Innovator award, the NIH NIBIB Mentored Research Scientist Development Award (K01), a long-term fellowship from the European Molecular Biology Organization (EMBO) and a fellowship from the Edmond & Lily Safra Center for Brain Sciences.